EAST RENFREWSHIRE COUNCIL

LOCAL REVIEW BODY

5 June 2024

Report by Director of Business Operations and Partnerships

REVIEW OF CASE - REVIEW/2024/04

SIDE UTILITY ONE-STOREY EXTENSION, FORMATION OF PITCHED ROOF OVER EXISTING GARAGE, ROOF ALTERATIONS TO FORM FRONT DORMER AND REAR GABLE, AS PART OF LOFT CONVERSION, EXISTING ROOF TO BE RE-ROOFED

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is to present the information currently available to allow a review of the decision taken by officers, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation made in terms of Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended by the Planning etc (Scotland) Act 2006 in respect of the application detailed below.

DETAILS OF APPLICATION

2. Application type: Further application (Ref No:- 2023/0354/TP).

Applicant: Mr Scott and Mrs Hayley Graham

Proposal: Side Utility One-Storey Extension, Formation of Pitched Roof

over Existing Garage, Roof Alterations to form Front Dormer and Rear Gable, as part of Loft Conversion, Existing Roof to be Re-

Roofed.

Location: 29 Stewart Drive, Clarkston, G76 7EY

Council Area/Ward: Clarkston, Netherlee and Williamwood (Ward 4).

REASON FOR REQUESTING REVIEW

3. The applicant has requested a review on the grounds that the Council's Appointed Officer refused the application.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4. The Local Review Body is asked to:-
 - (a) consider whether it has sufficient information to allow it to proceed to determine the review without further procedure and, if so, that:-
 - (i) it proceeds to determine whether the decision taken in respect of the application under review should be upheld, reversed or varied; and
 - (ii) in the event that the decision is reversed or varied, the reasons and the detailed conditions to be attached to the decision letter are agreed; or

- (b) that in the event that further procedure is required to allow it to determine the review, consider:-
 - (i) what further information is required, which parties are to be asked to provide the information and the date by which this is to be provided; and/or;
 - (ii) what procedure or combination of procedures are to be followed in determining the review.

BACKGROUND

- **5.** At the meeting of the Council on 29 April 2009, consideration was given to a report by the Director of Environment seeking the adoption of a new Scheme of Delegation in terms of the new Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997, subject to approval of the scheme by Scottish Ministers.
- 6. The report provided details of the new hierarchy of developments that took effect from 6 April 2009 explaining that the Scheme of Delegation related to those applications within the "local development" category as set out in the Town and Country Planning (Hierarchy of Development) (Scotland) Regulations 2009, but would in future be determined by an "appointed officer". In the Council's case this would be either the Director of Environment or the Head of Roads, Planning and Transportation Service now designated the Head of Environment (Operations).
- 7. The report highlighted that historically appeals against planning decisions were dealt with by Scottish Ministers. However, following the introduction of the new planning provisions with came into effect on 3 August 2009 all appeals against decisions made in respect of local developments under delegated powers would be heard by a Local Review Body. The Local Review Body would also deal with cases where the appointed officer had failed to determine an application within two months from the date it was lodged.

NOTICE OF REVIEW - STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REQUIRING THE REVIEW

- **8.** The applicant in submitting the review has stated the reasons for requiring the review of the determination of the application. A copy of the applicant's Notice of Review and Statement of Reasons including appeal statement is attached as Appendix 5.
- **9.** The applicant is entitled to state a preference for the procedure (or combination of procedures) to be followed by the Local Review Body in the determination of the review and has detailed in their opinion that this review can continue to conclusion based on the assessment of the review documents only, with no further procedure.
- **10.** The Local Review Body is not bound to accede to the applicant's request as to how it will determine the review and will itself decide what procedure will be used in this regard.
- **11.** At the meeting of the Local Review Body on 10 August 2016, it was decided that the Local Review Body would carry out unaccompanied site inspections for every review case it received prior to the cases being given initial consideration at a meeting of the Local Review Body.
- **12.** In accordance with the above decision, the Local Review Body will carry out an unaccompanied site inspection on Wednesday, 5 June 2024 before the meeting of the Local Review Body which begins at 2.30pm.

INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO ALLOW REVIEW OF APPLICATION

- **13.** Section 43B of the Planning etc (Scotland) Act 2006 restricts the ability of parties to introduce new material at the review stage. The Local Review Body is advised that the focus of the review should, therefore, be on the material which was before the officer who dealt with the application under the Scheme of Delegation.
- **14.** The information detailed below is appended to this report to assist the Local Review Body in carrying out the review of the decision taken by the Appointed Officer:-
 - (a) Application for planning permission Appendix 1 (Pages 117 to 124);
 - (b) Objections and Consultation Responses Appendix 2 (Pages 125 to 132);
 - (c) Reports of Handling by the planning officer under the Scheme of Delegation Appendix 3 (Pages 133 to 142);
 - (d) Decision notice and reasons for refusal Appendix 4 (Pages 143 to 148); and
 - (d) A copy of the applicant's Notice of Review and Statement of Reasons Appendix 5 (Pages 149 to 160).
- **15.** The applicant has also submitted the drawings listed below and these are attached as Appendix 6 (Pages 161 to 176).
 - (a) Block Plan as Existing;
 - (b) Block Plan as Proposed;
 - (c) Existing Ground Floor Plan;
 - (d) Proposed Ground Floor Plan Kitchen Side;
 - (e) Proposed Ground Floor Plan Garage Side;
 - (f) Proposed First Floor Plan;
 - (g) Proposed Section AA;
 - (h) Front and Rear Elevations as Existing;
 - (i) Side Elevations as Existing;
 - (j) Front Elevation as Proposed;
 - (k) Rear Elevation as Proposed;
 - (I) Side Elevation 1 Proposed;
 - (m) Side Elevation 2 Proposed; and
 - (n) Location Plan.
- **16.** All the documents referred to in this report can be viewed online on the Council's website at www.eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 17. The Local Review Body is asked to:-
 - (a) consider whether it has sufficient information to allow it to proceed to determine the review without further procedure and, if so, that:-
 - (i) it proceeds to determine whether the decisions taken in respect of the application under review should be upheld, reversed or varied; and
 - (ii) in the event that the decision is reversed or varied, the reasons and the detailed conditions to be attached to the decision letter are agreed; or
 - (b) In the event that further procedure is required to allow it to determine the review, consider:-
 - (i) what further information is required, which parties are to be asked to provide the information and the date by which this is to be provided; and/or;
 - (ii) what procedure or combination of procedures are to be followed in determining the review.

Report Author: John Burke

Director - Louise Pringle, Director of Business Operations and Partnerships

John Burke, Democratic Services Officer e-mail: john.burke@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

Tel: 0141 577 3026

Date: - 30 May 2024

APPENDIX 1

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION



2 Spiersbridge Way Thornliebank G46 8NG Tel: 0141 577 3001 Email: planning@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

ONLINE REFERENCE

100632272-001

on behalf of the applicant in connection with this application)

The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application.

Description of Proposal
Please describe accurately the work proposed: * (Max 500 characters)
Side Utility one-storey extension. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof alterations to form front dormer and rear gable, as part of loft conversion. Existing roof to be re-roofed.
Has the work already been started and/ or completed? *
☑ No ☐ Yes - Started ☐ Yes – Completed
Applicant or Agent Details
Are you an applicant or an agent? * (An agent is an architect, consultant or someone else acting

☐ Applicant ☒ Agent

Agent Details			
Please enter Agent details	S		
Company/Organisation:	A-Cubed Design Ltd		
Ref. Number:		You must enter a Bui	lding Name or Number, or both: *
First Name: *	Amanda	Building Name:	
Last Name: *	Campbell	Building Number:	6
Telephone Number: *	07909 337 951	Address 1 (Street): *	Copland Place
Extension Number:		Address 2:	
Mobile Number:		Town/City: *	Glasgow
Fax Number:		Country: *	Scotland
		Postcode: *	G51 2RS
Email Address: *	amanda@a3online.co.uk		
Please enter Applicant de			
Title:	Other	You must enter a Bui	lding Name or Number, or both: *
Other Title:	Mr and Mrs	Building Name:	
First Name: *	Scott and Hayley	Building Number:	29
Last Name: *	Graham	Address 1 (Street): *	Stewart Drive
Company/Organisation		Address 2:	
Telephone Number: *		Town/City: *	Clarkston
Extension Number:		Country: *	UK
Mobile Number:		Postcode: *	G76 7EY
Fax Number:			
Email Address: *	hayleygraham_@outlook.com		

Site Address	Details		
Planning Authority:	East Renfrewshire Council		
Full postal address of th	ne site (including postcode where available	le):	_
Address 1:	29 STEWART DRIVE		
Address 2:	CLARKSTON		
Address 3:			
Address 4:			
Address 5:			
Town/City/Settlement:	GLASGOW		
Post Code:	G76 7EY		
Please identify/describe	the location of the site or sites		
Northing	657560	Easting	256984
Pre-Applicat	ion Discussion		
Have you discussed you	ur proposal with the planning authority? *		☐ Yes ☒ No
Trees			
Are there any trees on or adjacent to the application site? *			Yes X No
If yes, please mark on your drawings any trees, known protected trees and their canopy spread close to the proposal site and indicate if any are to be cut back or felled.			
Access and	Parking		
Are you proposing a new or altered vehicle access to or from a public road? *			
If yes, please describe and show on your drawings the position of any existing, altered or new access points, highlighting the changes you proposed to make. You should also show existing footpaths and note if there will be any impact on these.			
Planning Service Employee/Elected Member Interest			
Is the applicant, or the applicant's spouse/partner, either a member of staff within the planning service or an elected member of the planning authority? *			

Certificate	s and Notices	
	O NOTICE UNDER REGULATION 15 – TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPME COTLAND) REGULATION 2013	NT MANAGEMENT
	st be completed and submitted along with the application form. This is most usually Certifical icate C or Certificate E.	te A, Form 1,
Are you/the applica	nt the sole owner of ALL the land? *	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
Is any of the land p	art of an agricultural holding? *	☐ Yes ☒ No
Certificate	Required	
The following Land	Ownership Certificate is required to complete this section of the proposal:	
Certificate A		
Land Ov	vnership Certificate	
Certificate and Noti Regulations 2013	ce under Regulation 15 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Proc	cedure) (Scotland)
Certificate A		
I hereby certify that	:-	
lessee under a leas	er than myself/the applicant was an owner (Any person who, in respect of any part of the lan se thereof of which not less than 7 years remain unexpired.) of any part of the land to which t e period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application.	
(2) - None of the lar	nd to which the application relates constitutes or forms part of an agricultural holding	
Signed:	Amanda Campbell	
On behalf of:	Mr and Mrs Scott and Hayley Graham	
Date:	15/06/2023	
	Please tick here to certify this Certificate. *	

Checklist – App	lication for Householder Application	
in support of your application.	o complete the following checklist in order to ensure that you have provided all the . Failure to submit sufficient information with your application may result in your apy will not start processing your application until it is valid.	
a) Have you provided a writte	en description of the development to which it relates?. *	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	stal address of the land to which the development relates, or if the land in question cription of the location of the land? *	⊠ Yes □ No
c) Have you provided the nan applicant, the name and addr	me and address of the applicant and, where an agent is acting on behalf of the ress of that agent.? *	⊠ Yes □ No
d) Have you provided a locati land in relation to the locality and be drawn to an identified	ion plan sufficient to identify the land to which it relates showing the situation of the and in particular in relation to neighbouring land? *. This should have a north poin scale.	e 🛛 Yes 🗌 No t
e) Have you provided a certifi	icate of ownership? *	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
f) Have you provided the fee	payable under the Fees Regulations? *	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
g) Have you provided any oth	ner plans as necessary? *	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
Continued on the next page		
A copy of the other plans and (two must be selected). *	d drawings or information necessary to describe the proposals	
	nic documents later in the process.	
☑ Existing and Proposed e	elevations.	
☒ Existing and proposed flo	oor plans.	
X Cross sections.		
☒ Site layout plan/Block pla	ans (including access).	
Roof plan.		
Photographs and/or pho	tomontages.	
•	nple a tree survey or habitat survey may be needed. In some instances you about the structural condition of the existing house or outbuilding.	☐ Yes ☒ No
	u may wish to provide additional background information or justification for your and you should provide this in a single statement. This can be combined with a .*	X Yes □ No
You must submit a fee with you Received by the planning aut	our application. Your application will not be able to be validated until the appropria hority.	te fee has been
Declare – For H	ouseholder Application	
I, the applicant/agent certify the Plans/drawings and additional	hat this is an application for planning permission as described in this form and the al information.	accompanying
Declaration Name:	Mrs Amanda Campbell	
Declaration Date:	15/06/2023	

Payment Details

Pay Direct

Created: 15/06/2023 09:51

APPENDIX 2

OBJECTIONS/REPRESENTATIONS

Application Summary

Application Number: 2023/0354/TP

Address: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof

alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed.

Case Officer: Mr Derek Scott

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Fiona Abram

Address: 20 Sunnyside Drive, Clarkston, East Renfrewshire G76 7PU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Adjacent Local Authority

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons: Comment:Dear Sirs.

I object to the current plans on the basis that there is a large window upstairs to the rear of the property. This is likely to impact on the privacy of my property. I do not object to people improving their homes but consider that large upstairs windows are not suitable in an area when houses are close together with small gardens.

Other houses in the area have velux style windows upstairs which allow light and development of houses upstairs without intruding on neighbours.

Yours faithfully Fiona Abram

Application Summary

Application Number: 2023/0354/TP

Address: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof

alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed.

Case Officer: Mr Derek Scott

Customer Details

Name: Mr Charles Stringer

Address: 22 Sunnyside Drive, Clarkston, East Renfrewshire G76 7PU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Rec'd NeighbourNotification from Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This application will have a upper floor window (seems to be full height double type opening onto to balcony from master bedroom) which will overlooking my back garden and rear of house which has bedroom, family room and bathroom windows to the rear of 22 Sunnyside Drive. On ariel view can see no other building in area with this type of window at rear of proprties

Application Summary

Application Number: 2023/0354/TP

Address: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof

alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed.

Case Officer: Mr Derek Scott

Customer Details

Name: Mr Charles Stringer

Address: 22 Sunnyside Drive, Clarkston, East Renfrewshire G76 7PU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Rec'd NeighbourNotification from Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Find previous comment on line of sight incorrect.

Shows hedges (line of trees put in by previous owner of 29 who had cot top and both sides every year) as shared not so belongs to 29 with side at 22 only shrubs.

Height of observer used is well under height of average Scottish male.

Shows garden in 22 sloping towards house when it is level.

If their height of hedge as 2.2 m and observer increased by 10 cm almost all of rear patio doors of family room at 22 in direct line of sight.

Application Summary

Application Number: 2023/0354/TP

Address: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof

alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed. Installation of trellis

(amended plans received/amended description)

Case Officer: Mr Derek Scott

Customer Details

Name: Mr Charles Stringer

Address: 22 Sunnyside Drive, Clarkston, East Renfrewshire G76 7PU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Rec'd NeighbourNotification from Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:With regards to last comment suggesting a trellis, this avoids the issue of the ownership and responsibility for the hedge (trees), the trunks of these trees are wholly in the grounds of 29 Stewart Drive and are their responsibility.

Current suggestion seems to be that those of us (numbers 20, 22 and 24 Sunnyside Drive) would have an ongoing problem of maintaining someone's else hedge with a 3 metre high hedge/trellis combination overshadowed and overbearing our properties.

East Renfrewshire Council Planning Guidance Householders Design Guide May 2023 para 2 states

" should avoid any negative impacts on neighbouring properties such as overbearing resulting in excessive overshadowing or loss of privacy."

While I would not wish to stop neighbours enjoying their home and their right to improve same, do feel the aspect from the large three panel window at the upper rear gable detracts from my own rights. I do feel this is a case of overdelopment on the site which is incongruous and not in keeping with the style of bungalows in the area.

Application Summary

Application Number: 2023/0354/TP

Address: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof

alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed. Installation of trellis

(amended plans received/amended description)

Case Officer: Mr Derek Scott

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Geraldine Stringer

Address: 22 Sunnyside Drive, Clarkston, East Renfrewshire G76 7PU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Rec'd NeighbourNotification from Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Feel this is overdevelopment of site.

Overshadowing of adjacent properties to rear of 29 Stewart Drive against development plan D 1.1.

Narrow gardens.

Proposed gable wall at rear the upper three panel full height windows is inappropriate for site will dominate skyline and cause intrusion into gardens and windows to rear of property.

Leylandi hedgerow rear of 29 Stewart Drive is line of trees with trunks totally on their property making this their sole responsibility note not a shared hedge.

Previous owner of 29 Stewart Drive maintained both sides and top, current owners only cut part of top and side facing their property.

The intention to put trellis at top of trees will only only increase problems for cutting hedge (trees).

Do feel not in keeping with other bungalows in area indeed are creating a villa on a bungalow site.



From: Caroline Swan

Sent: 26 October 2023 13:19

To: EN Planning < Planning@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk >

Subject: Application - 2023/0354/TP

Hello,

Further to my call with Alison just now, I am emailing in details of my objection to these plans as the objection I lodged via the portal appears to have gone astray. I would be grateful if you would lodge these appropriately.

For reference, my name is Caroline Swan and I live at 26 Sunnyside Drive, Clarkston G76 7PU and received Neighbour Notification.

I object to the plans as submitted as they will cause a loss of privacy into my garden and into my main living room at the rear of my property. A person standing at the proposed juliet balcony windows will be able to look across the roof of the dining area, over the hedge (which is lower to the west side of the property, particularly that part of the hedge which is actually owned by the neighbouring property at no. 31 Stewart Drive) and into my garden. There will be a direct line of sight into my own living area through my patio doors.

The proposed rear roofline with gable wall effectively brings a two storey wall much closer to the boundary line of the properties in Sunnyside Drive. The height and scale of the design is inappropriate for the plot and the neighbourhood. The windows in this rear gable wall will overlook gardens and properties from possibly 18 Sunnyside Drive right across to 28 Sunnyside Drive. It will be an overly dominant and domineering feature and is out of keeping with all the neighbouring bungalows which have developed attics/ 2nd floors with veluxe windows maintaining privacy in neighbours' gardens. These proposals would effectively convert an in-keeping bungalow into an out of place two storey villa.

Regards

Caroline Swan

APPENDIX 3

REPORT OF HANDLING

REPORT OF HANDLING

Reference: 2023/0354/TP Date Registered: 22nd June 2023

Application Type: Full Planning Permission This application is a Local Development

Ward: 4 -Clarkston, Netherlee And Williamwood

Co-ordinates: 256984/:657560

Applicant/Agent: Applicant: Agent:

Mr and Mrs Scott and Hayley Graham Amanda Campbell 29 Stewart Drive 6 Copland Place

Clarkston Glasgow
East Renfrewshire Scotland
G76 7EY G51 2RS

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage.

Roof alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-

roofed.

Location: 29 Stewart Drive

Clarkston

East Renfrewshire

G76 7EY

CONSULTATIONS/COMMENTS: None.

PUBLICITY: None.

SITE NOTICES: None.

SITE HISTORY: None relevant.

REPRESENTATIONS: Four objections have been received and can be summarised as follows:

Overlooking
Out of character with the area and dominant
Overdevelopment of the site
Overshadowing

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE: See Appendix 1

SUPPORTING REPORTS: No reports have been submitted for consideration as part of this application.

ASSESSMENT:

The application site comprises a detached bungalow and lies within an established residential area characterised by a variety of house types. The dwellings in the area comprise predominantly hipped roofs, although it is noted the nearby dwellings at 23 and 25 Stewart Drive feature semi-gables. Many of the dwellings in the area feature dormer windows. The property has an existing attached flat-roofed garage and an existing side and rear extension. The dwelling has a short ridge line that runs parallel with the street. Further dwellings lie to the rear

on Sunnyside Drive. The applicant's rear garden is relatively shallow at 8.5 metres deep. The dwelling has been extended in the past. The existing roof is clad in red tiles.

Planning permission is sought for an enlargement of the roof to form a rear gable and a flat central section, including re-roofing of the dwelling; the erection of a single storey side and rear extension; the installation of a front dormer window; and for the formation of a mono-pitch roof over the existing garage. The proposed external roofing and wall materials match those of the existing dwelling. The dwelling is proposed to be re-roofed with red concrete tile. There is no change to the colour of the roof.

The application requires to be assessed with regard to the Development Plan which comprises NPF4 and the East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2.

The policy most relevant to this proposal in NPF4 is Policy 16. Policy 16 states that householder development proposals will be supported where they:

- (i) do not have a detrimental impact on the character or environmental quality of the home and the surrounding area in terms of size, design and materials; and
- (ii) do not have a detrimental effect on the neighbouring properties in terms of physical impact, overshadowing or overlooking.

Policies D1 and D1.1 of the adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan are also relevant to this development. Policy D1 requires that all development should not result in a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area and Policy D1.1 requires that extensions and alterations should complement the character of the existing building in terms of its style, form and materials and not give rise to a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area.

It is noted that the proposal introduces a rear gable feature and a flat central section of roof. This is significant in as much as it changes the form of the existing roof. However, in this instance the rear of the dwelling is not readily open to public view and the flat section of roof is not an unduly prominent feature when viewed from street level. Further, the proposals do not exceed the existing ridge height. The cumulative impact of the existing extensions and the proposed extensions and alterations, including the front dormer window, is therefore not considered to detract from the character or design of the existing dwelling and is in keeping with the visual character of the area. Whilst the rear gable would be visible from the properties to the rear, its visual impact would not be considered to be as severe as would justify a refusal of the application on that ground. If the proposal is approved, a condition can be attached to any planning permission granted to ensure the proposed dormer fronts and side cheeks are externally clad in material to match the finish of the roof. The re-roofing does not change the colour of the roof. Whilst it would not require permission in its own right, it is considered to be acceptable.

Given the design of the proposals and their orientation in relation to the adjacent dwellings, the proposal would not be considered to give rise to significant additional overshadowing or loss of daylight.

Whilst the rear garden is relatively shallow it is of sufficient width to ensure the proposal (cumulatively with the existing extensions) does not represent the over-development of the site.

It is noted that the proposal introduces a large rear-facing, first floor window which is a feature not present in the immediate area. It comprises inwards-opening doors and a safety rail, although it does not form a balcony. Given the relatively shallow depth of the applicant's rear garden and those of the properties to the rear, this would have potential to create an overlooking issue. Indeed, both objectors to the rear, at 20 and 22 Sunnyside Drive, raise this issue.

There is an established boundary hedge within the applicant's property that runs along the rear boundaries with 20, 22 and 24 Sunnyside Drive. On the applicant's side the hedge has been trimmed to 2.2 metres high. Where the hedge overgrows the boundaries with 20 and 22 Sunnyside Drive it has been allowed to grow up to 2.8 metres high in parts.

The applicant's agent has provided a drawing and photographic information that shows that the distance between the proposed window to neighbouring windows varying between 15.7 metres and 20 metres. Whilst 20 metres would be considered acceptable, the lesser distances, which are also directly opposing the neighbour's windows, is unacceptable as it is likely to result in overlooking which can only be mitigated by that part of the rear boundary hedge that is outwith the applicant's control.

As outlined above, the LDP2 seeks to protect the amenity of adjacent properties, and also requires borrowed amenity to be considered. Borrowed amenity is where a new development borrows amenity from adjacent land. For example, in this instance, the majority of window to window overlooking is only mitigated by that part of the boundary hedge that grows on the neighbour's side of the boundary and is therefore within the control of the neighbour rather than the applicant. As a matter of principle, borrowing amenity should be avoided as it place the onus on the owner of the adjacent property to (in this case) maintain the boundary hedge at a height of around 3 metres to protect their privacy. Had the applicant's side of the hedge been higher, a condition could have been attached to any planning permission granted to ensure it is maintained at an appropriate height. However, the hedge under the applicant's direct control is currently of insufficient height to prevent overlooking and it is not possible to attach a condition controlling the height of the overhanging part of the hedge.

A proposal had been put forward by the applicant to erect a section of trellis in the middle of the hedge in order to address the overlooking issue. However, the applicant subsequently withdrew this aspect of the proposal.

It is therefore considered that the applicant has been unable to demonstrate that the existing boundary planting offers adequate screening to protect the amenity of the adjacent properties. In the absence of suitable mitigation, the proposal would give rise to significant additional overlooking over the property to the rear to the detriment of residential amenity. It is not possible to control the height of the higher part of the hedge that grows on the neighbouring side of the rear boundary with planning conditions.

Whilst the proposal is generally acceptable in other aspects, the application must be determined as a whole.

Given the above, the proposal is considered to be contrary to Policy 16 of NPF4 and contrary to Policies D1 and D1.1 of LDP2.

The points of objection have been addressed above.

In conclusion, the proposal is contrary to the relevant terms of the development plan. There are no material considerations that indicate the application should not be refused. It is therefore recommended that the application is refused.

PLANNING OBLIGATIONS: None.

RECOMMENDATION: Refuse.

REASONS FOR REFUSAL:

- The proposal is contrary to Policy 16 of National Planning Framework 4 as, without an adequate means of control, the proposed rear-facing first floor window would give rise to a significant additional degree of overlooking to the detriment of the residential amenity of the property to the rear.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to Policies D1 and D1.1 of the adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan as, without an adequate means of control, the proposed rear-facing first floor window would give rise to a significant additional degree of overlooking to the detriment of the residential amenity of the property to the rear.

ADDITIONAL NOTES: None.

ADDED VALUE: None.

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Further information on background papers can be obtained from Mr Derek Scott on 0141 577 3001.

Ref. No.: 2023/0354/TP

(DESC)

DATE: 30th October 2023

DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENT

Finalised 2nd November 2023 – GMcC(1)

Reference: 2023/0354/TP - Appendix 1

DEVELOPMENT PLAN:

Adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2

Policy D1

Placemaking and Design

Proposals for development within the urban and rural areas should be well designed, sympathetic to the local area and demonstrate that the following criteria have been considered, and, where appropriate, met. Proposals will be assessed against the 6 qualities of a successful place as outlined in SPP, Designing Streets and the Placemaking and Design Supplementary Guidance.

- 1. The development should not result in a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area;
- 2. The proposal should be appropriate to its location, be high quality and of a size, scale, height, massing and density and layout that is in keeping with the buildings in the locality or appropriate to the existing building and should respect local architecture, building form and design;
- 3. Respect existing building lines and heights of the locality;
- 4. Create a well-defined structure of streets, public spaces and buildings;

- 5. Ensure the use of high quality sustainable and durable materials, colours and finishes that complement existing development and buildings in the locality;
- 6. Respond to and complement site topography and not impact adversely upon the green belt and landscape character and setting, green networks, features of historic interest, landmarks, vistas, skylines and key gateways. Existing buildings and natural features of suitable quality, should be retained and sensitively integrated into proposals including greenspace, trees and hedgerows;
- 7. Boundary treatment and landscaping should create a distinctive edge and gateway to the development and reflect local character;
- 8. Promote permeable and legible places through a clear sustainable movement hierarchy favouring walking, then cycling, public transport, then the private car as forms of movement;
- 9. Demonstrate connectivity through the site and to surrounding spaces via a network of safe, direct, attractive and coherent walking and cycling routes. These must be suitable for all age groups, and levels of agility and mobility to allow for ease of movement from place to place;
- 10. Demonstrate that safe and functional pedestrian, cycle and vehicular access, and parking facilities and infrastructure, including for disabled and visitor parking, is provided in accordance with the Council's Roads Development Guide. Where appropriate, proposals will be required to provide secure and accessible shelters, lockers, showers and seating and be designed to meet the needs of all users. Cycle parking and facilities should be located in close proximity to the entrances of all buildings to provide convenience and choice for users;
- 11. Incorporate integrated and enhance existing green infrastructure assets, such as landscaping, trees and greenspace, water management and SUDs including access and prioritise links to the wider green network as an integral part of the design process from the outset, in accordance with Policies D4 D6. New green infrastructure must be designed to protect and enhance the habitat and biodiversity of the area and demonstrate a net gain;
- 12. Unless justified, there will be a general presumption against landraising. Where there is a justifiable reason for landraising, proposals must have regard to the scale and visual impact of the resultant changes to the local landscape and amenity. Proposals that adversely impact upon the visual and physical connections through the site and to the surrounding areas will be resisted;
- 13. Backland development should be avoided;
- 14. Provide safe, secure and welcoming places with buildings and spaces, including open spaces, play areas and landscaping, designed and positioned to reduce the scope for anti-social behaviour and fear of crime, improve natural surveillance, passive overlooking, security and street activity;
- 15. The amenity of residents, occupants and users of neighbouring existing and new buildings and spaces should not be adversely affected by unreasonably restricting their sunlight or privacy. Additional guidance on this issue is available in the Daylight and Sunlight Design Guide Supplementary Guidance;
- 16. Development should minimise the extent of light pollution caused by street and communal lighting and any floodlighting associated with the proposal;
- 17. The amenity of residents, occupants and users of neighbouring existing and new buildings and spaces should not be adversely affected by noise, dust, pollution and smell or poor air quality;

- 18. Ensure buildings and spaces are future proof designed to be easily adaptable and flexible to respond to changing social, environmental, technological, digital and economic conditions;
- 19. Incorporate provision for the recycling, storage, collection and composting of waste materials; and
- 20. Incorporate the use of sustainable design and construction methods and materials in the layout and design to support a low carbon economy.

Proposals must meet the requirements of any development brief prepared by the Council for an allocated site.

Further detailed guidance and information will be set out in the Placemaking and Design Supplementary Guidance, Householder Design Supplementary Guidance and the Daylight and Sunlight Design Supplementary Guidance.

Policy D1.1

Extensions and Alterations to Existing Buildings for Residential Purposes Proposals will be assessed against the following criteria:

- 1. The development should not result in a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area;
- 2. Should complement the scale and character of the existing building, neighbouring properties and their setting, particularly in terms of style, form and materials;
- 3. The size, scale and height of any development must be appropriate to and not adversely impact or dominate the existing building;
- 4. Should not create an unbroken or terraced appearance;
- 5. Where additional bedrooms are proposed or a garage/driveway is being converted to another use other than for the parking of a vehicle, proposals will be required to provide parking in accordance with the Council's Roads Development Guide; and
- 6. Should avoid over-development of the site by major loss of existing front and rear garden space. No more than 50% of the rear garden should be occupied by the development.

Further detailed information and guidance will be set out in the Householder Design Guide Supplementary Guidance.

National Planning Framework 4

Policy 16

Quality homes

- Development proposals for new homes on land allocated for housing in LDPs will be supported.
- b) Development proposals that include 50 or more homes, and smaller developments if required by local policy or guidance, should be accompanied by a Statement of Community Benefit. The statement will explain the contribution of the proposed development to:
- i. meeting local housing requirements, including affordable homes;

- ii. providing or enhancing local infrastructure, facilities and services; and
- iii. improving the residential amenity of the surrounding area.
- c) Development proposals for new homes that improve affordability and choice by being adaptable to changing and diverse needs, and which address identified gaps in provision, will be supported. This could include:
- self-provided homes;
- ii. accessible, adaptable and wheelchair accessible homes;
- iii. build to rent:
- iv. affordable homes;
- v. a range of size of homes such as those for larger families;
- vi. homes for older people, including supported accommodation, care homes and sheltered housing;
- vii. homes for people undertaking further and higher education; and
- viii. homes for other specialist groups such as service personnel.
- d) Development proposals for public or private, permanent or temporary, Gypsy/Travellers sites and family yards and Travelling Showpeople yards, including on land not specifically allocated for this use in the LDP, should be supported where a need is identified and the proposal is otherwise consistent with the plan spatial strategy and other relevant policies, including human rights and equality.
- e) Development proposals for new homes will be supported where they make provision for affordable homes to meet an identified need. Proposals for market homes will only be supported where the contribution to the provision of affordable homes on a site will be at least 25% of the total number of homes, unless the LDP sets out locations or circumstances where:
- i. a higher contribution is justified by evidence of need, or
- ii. a lower contribution is justified, for example, by evidence of impact on viability, where proposals are small in scale, or to incentivise particular types of homes that are needed to diversify the supply, such as self-build or wheelchair accessible homes.
 The contribution is to be provided in accordance with local policy or guidance.
- f) Development proposals for new homes on land not allocated for housing in the LDP will only be supported in limited circumstances where:
- i. the proposal is supported by an agreed timescale for build-out; and
- ii. the proposal is otherwise consistent with the plan spatial strategy and other relevant policies including local living and 20 minute neighbourhoods;
- iii. and either:
 - delivery of sites is happening earlier than identified in the deliverable housing land pipeline. This will be determined by reference to two consecutive years of the Housing Land Audit evidencing substantial delivery earlier than pipeline timescales and that general trend being sustained; or

the proposal is consistent with policy on rural homes; or

the proposal is for smaller scale opportunities within an existing settlement boundary; or

the proposal is for the delivery of less than 50 affordable homes as part of a local

authority supported affordable housing plan.

- g) Householder development proposals will be supported where they:
- i. do not have a detrimental impact on the character or environmental quality of the home and the surrounding area in terms of size, design and materials; and
- ii. do not have a detrimental effect on the neighbouring properties in terms of physical impact, overshadowing or overlooking.
- h) Householder development proposals that provide adaptations in response to risks from a changing climate, or relating to people with health conditions that lead to particular accommodation needs will be supported.

APPENDIX 4

DECISION NOTICE

Environment Department Head of Environment (Chief Planner): Gillian McCarney

2 Spiersbridge Way, Spiersbridge Business Park, Thornliebank, G46 8NG Phone: 0141 577 3001 Fax: 0141 577 3781 DX: 501601 GIFFNOCK

Our Ref: 2023/0354/TP

Your Ref:

Date: 3rd November 2023

When calling Please ask for: Mr Derek Scott derek.scott@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

Telephone No: 0141 577 3001

Amanda Campbell 6 Copland Place Glasgow Scotland G51 2RS

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997
(AS AMENDED BY THE PLANNING ETC (SCOTLAND) ACT 2006)
TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION - REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Ref No: 2023/0354/TP

Location: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

Proposal: Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage.

Roof alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-

roofed.

The Council has decided to refuse your application for the reasons explained on the enclosed decision notice. The stamped refused drawings are available to view and download from the Council's website www.ercplanning.eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk by searching under the application reference number.

If you are aggrieved by the decision, you may appeal or seek a review of the decision. Please see the notes attached to your decision notice for the procedures you should follow and the timescales involved.

Yours faithfully

Gillian McCarney
Head of Environment (Chief Planner)

Encl.



East Mineral East Property Pro

Gillian McCarney, Head of Environment (Chief Planner), 2 Spiersbridge Way, Thornliebank, East Renfrewshire, G46 8NG

When you contact us we want you to trust that your personal information is in safe hands, and that starts with helping you understand why we ask for data and how we manage it. Read our Privacy notice at <u>Privacy Notice</u> for more information, alternatively if you would like this is a different format, please contact planning@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

147 EAST RENFREWSHIRE COUNCIL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED BY THE PLANNING ETC (SCOTLAND) ACT 2006) TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Ref. No. 2023/0354/TP

Applicant: Agent:

Mr and Mrs Scott and Hayley GrahamAmanda Campbell29 Stewart Drive6 Copland PlaceClarkstonGlasgow

East Renfrewshire Scotland G76 7EY G51 2RS

With reference to your application which was registered on 14th September 2023 for planning permission under the abovementioned Act and Regulations for the following development, viz:-

Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed.

at: 29 Stewart Drive Clarkston East Renfrewshire G76 7EY

the Council in exercise of their powers under the abovementioned Act and Regulations hereby refuse planning permission for the said development.

The reason(s) for the Council's decision are:-

glan M'Carren

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Policy 16 of National Planning Framework 4 as, without an adequate means of control, the proposed rear-facing first floor window would give rise to a significant additional degree of overlooking to the detriment of the residential amenity of the property to the rear.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to Policies D1 and D1.1 of the adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan as, without an adequate means of control, the proposed rearfacing first floor window would give rise to a significant additional degree of overlooking to the detriment of the residential amenity of the property to the rear.

Dated 2nd November 2023 Head of Environment

(Chief Planner)

East Renfrewshire Council

2 Spiersbridge Way,

Spiersbridge Business Park,

Thornliebank, G46 8NG

Tel. No. 0141 577 3001

The following drawings/plans have been refused

Plan Description	Drawing Number	Drawing Version	Date on Plan
Location Plan	001		
Block Plan Proposed	002	С	
Elevations Proposed	103		
Elevations Proposed	104	b	
Elevations Proposed	105		
Elevations Proposed	106		
Plans Proposed	004		
Plans Proposed	006		
Plans Proposed	005		

GUIDANCE NOTE FOR REFUSAL OF LOCAL DEVELOPMENTS DETERMINED UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

REVIEW BY EAST RENFREWSHIRE COUNCIL'S LOCAL REVIEW BODY

- 1. If the applicant is aggrieved by a decision to refuse permission (or by an approval subject to conditions), the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months from the date of this notice. A Notice of Review can be submitted online at www.eplanning.scotland.gov.uk. Please note that beyond the content of the appeal or review forms, **you cannot normally raise new matters** in support of an appeal or review, unless you can demonstrate that the matter could not have been raised before, or that its not being raised before is a consequence of exceptional circumstances. Following submission of the notice, you will receive an acknowledgement letter informing you of the date of the Local Review Body meeting or whether further information is required.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land in accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

CONTACT DETAILS

East Renfrewshire Council Development Management Service 2 Spiersbridge Way, Spiersbridge Business Park, Thornliebank, G46 8NG

General Inquiry lines 0141 577 3001 Email planning@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

APPENDIX 5

NOTICE OF REVIEW



2 Spiersbridge Way Thornliebank G46 8NG Tel: 0141 577 3001 Email: planning@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

ONLINE REFERENCE 100632272-003

The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application.

Applicant or Agent Details

Are you an applicant or an agent? * (An agent is an architect, consultant or someone else acting

on behalf of the applicant in connection with this application)		\leq Applicant T Agent			
Agent Details					
Please enter Agent details	S				
Company/Organisation:	A-Cubed Design Ltd				
Ref. Number:		You must enter a Building Name or Number, or both: *			
First Name: *	Amanda	Building Name:			
Last Name: *	Campbell	Building Number:	6		
Telephone Number: *		Address 1 (Street): *	Copland Place		
Extension Number:		Address 2:			
Mobile Number:		Town/City: *	Glasgow		
Fax Number:		Country: *	Scotland		
		Postcode: *	G51 2RS		
Email Address: *					
Is the applicant an individual or an organisation/corporate entity? *					
T Individual \leq Organ	nisation/Corporate entity				

Applicant De	tails				
Please enter Applicant details					
Title:	Other	You must enter a Bu	You must enter a Building Name or Number, or both: *		
Other Title:	Mr and Mrs	Building Name:			
First Name: *	Scott and Hayley	Building Number:	29		
Last Name: *	Graham	Address 1 (Street): *	Stewart Drive		
Company/Organisation		Address 2:			
Telephone Number: *		Town/City: *	Clarkston		
Extension Number:		Country: *	United Kingdom		
Mobile Number:		Postcode: *	G76 7EY		
Fax Number:					
Email Address: *					
Site Address Details					
Planning Authority:	East Renfrewshire Council				
Full postal address of the site (including postcode where available):					
Address 1:	29 STEWART DRIVE				
Address 2:	CLARKSTON				
Address 3:					
Address 4:					
Address 5:					
Town/City/Settlement:	GLASGOW				
Post Code:	G76 7EY				
Please identify/describe the location of the site or sites					
Northing	657560	Easting	256984		

Description of Proposal

Please provide a description of your proposal to which your review relates. The description should be the same as given in the application form, or as amended with the agreement of the planning authority: * (Max 500 characters)

Extension to side and rear. Formation of pitched roof over existing garage. Roof alterations to form front dormer and rear gable. Existing roof to be re-roofed.

Type of Application

What type of application did you submit to the planning authority? *

- 1 Application for planning permission (including householder application but excluding application to work minerals).
- ≤ Application for planning permission in principle.
- ≤ Further application.
- ≤ Application for approval of matters specified in conditions.

What does your review relate to? *

- T Refusal Notice.
- ≤ Grant of permission with Conditions imposed.
- No decision reached within the prescribed period (two months after validation date or any agreed extension) deemed refusal.

Statement of reasons for seeking review

You must state in full, why you are a seeking a review of the planning authority's decision (or failure to make a decision). Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. If necessary this can be provided as a separate document in the 'Supporting Documents' section: * (Max 500 characters)

Note: you are unlikely to have a further opportunity to add to your statement of appeal at a later date, so it is essential that you produce all of the information you want the decision-maker to take into account.

You should not however raise any new matter which was not before the planning authority at the time it decided your application (or at the time expiry of the period of determination), unless you can demonstrate that the new matter could not have been raised before that time or that it not being raised before that time is a consequence of exceptional circumstances.

See Supporting Statement
Have you raised any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time the \leq Yes T No Determination on your application was made? *
If yes, you should explain in the box below, why you are raising the new matter, why it was not raised with the appointed officer before your application was determined and why you consider it should be considered in your review: * (Max 500 characters)

Please provide a list of all supporting documents, materials and evidence which you wish to submit with your notice of review and intend to rely on in support of your review. You can attach these documents electronically later in the process: * (Max 500 characters)

1937 - PL-001 1937 - PL-002C 1937 - PL-003 1937 - PL-004 1937 - PL-005 1937 - PL-006 1937 - PL-007B 1937 - PL-101 1937 - PL-102 1937 - PL-103 1937 - PL-104 1937 - PL-105 1937 - PL-106 1937-29 Stewart Drive- Supporting Statement - 09-11-2023 Location Plan Planning application Planning decision Report of Handling

Application Details

Please provide the application reference no. given to you by your planning authority for your previous application.

2023/0354/TP

What date was the application submitted to the planning authority? *

15/06/2023

What date was the decision issued by the planning authority? *

02/11/2023

Review Procedure

The Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to determine your review and may at any time during the review process require that further information or representations be made to enable them to determine the review. Further information may be required by one or a combination of procedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or more hearing sessions and/or inspecting the land which is the subject of the review case.

Can this review continue to a conclusion, in your opinion, based on a review of the relevant information provided by yourself and other parties only, without any further procedures? For example, written submission, hearing session, site inspection. *

T Yes \leq No

In the event that the Local Review Body appointed to consider your application decides to inspect the site, in your opinion:

Can the site be clearly seen from a road or public land? *

T Yes \leq No

Is it possible for the site to be accessed safely and without barriers to entry? *

 \leq Yes T No

Checklist – Application for Notice of Review

Please complete the following checklist to make sure you have provided all the necessary information in support of your appeal. Failure to submit all this information may result in your appeal being deemed invalid.

Have you provided the name and address of the applicant?. *

 $T \text{ Yes} \leq \text{No}$

Have you provided the date and reference number of the application which is the subject of this review? *

 $T \text{ Yes} \leq \text{ No}$

If you are the agent, acting on behalf of the applicant, have you provided details of your name and address and indicated whether any notice or correspondence required in connection with the review should be sent to you or the applicant? *

T Yes \leq No \leq N/A

Have you provided a statement setting out your reasons for requiring a review and by what

 $T_{\text{Yes}} < N_0$

procedure (or combination of procedures) you wish the review to be conducted? *

Note: You must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. You may not have a further opportunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your notice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to consider as part of your review.

Please attach a copy of all documents, material and evidence which you intend to rely on (e.g. plans and Drawings) which are now the subject of this review *

T Yes \leq No

Note: Where the review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified in conditions, it is advisable to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice (if any) from the earlier consent.

Declare - Notice of Review

I/We the applicant/agent certify that this is an application for review on the grounds stated.

Declaration Name: Mrs Amanda Campbell

Declaration Date: 09/11/2023

Job Ref: 1937-29 Stewart Drive

Date: 09-11-2023

Supporting Statement for 29 Stewart Drive

The proposal includes forming a rear gable-end alteration to this detached bungalow. Below are a list of similar extensions and alterations in the local area.

www.a3online.co.uk

6 Copland Place

Glasgow G51 2RS

Precedents – all with gable end extensions:

- Dorian Drive 2, 6, 14 and 37 have gable end extensions
- Struma Drive 6 and 8 have gable end extensions
- Rockburn Drive 4 and 8
- Atholl Drive 27 gable end extension
- Evan Drive 28, 56, 58, 60, 66, 68, 76, 78 and 80 all have raised ridge heights of the roof and gable end extension.
- 76 Evan Drive [2018/0201/TP] was overturned at Appeals stage, with the appeals committee stating that they did not believe the gable-end proposal to be dominating or excessive.
- Brora Drive 5, 20, 21 and 31 all have raised ridge heights and gable end extensions.
- Clyth Drive 7 and 19 both have gable end extensions.
- 22 Melford Avenue has raised ridge height and a gable end extension
- Sutherland Drive: 15 has raised ridge height and gable end extension.
- Etive Drive 2, 10, 16 and 38 have both a raised ridge height and gable end extension.
- Balvie Avenue 2 and 3 have raised ridge heights and gable end extensions.
- Lawrence Avenue 22, 23, 25 and 27 have both raised ridge heights and gable end extensions with number 25 having been granted this permission on 29/8/2018 at the original planning application without an appeals process.
- 145 Kilpatrick Gardens [2019/0168/TP] decision overturned at
- appeals process
- 8 Netherhill Avenue [2020/0825/TP] approved gable end alteration to existing roof
- 112 Mearns Road [2020/0446/TP] approved gable end extension

Recent overturned planning decision:

The ERC Local Review Body meeting of the 7th of June 2023 overturned the refused Planning decision for 57 Netherhill Avenue [2022/0687/TP] for a similar application.

Approved Gable-End extensions with gardens that are less deep than the current application:

A gable-end extension at no.29 Lawrence Avenue [2020/0293/TP] was approved on appeal, for an extension that is significantly closer to the rear boundary than the current application for no. 29 Stewart Drive.

The nearby gable-end extension at no.27 Lawrence Avenue [2015/0452/TP] was approved on appeal. Below is a Google maps view of the shallow garden depth, following the construction of the gable-end extension. The red line shows the rear boundary:



View from proposed rear glazing:

View taken from proposed First Floor glazing height, towards properties at rear, approx. 16m distance between proposed glazing and rear extension of neighbours, with mature hedge between:



In conclusion, this application does not involve increasing the footprint of the existing building towards the rear or raising the existing ridge height, so it is not a big as many of the precedents provided. It also has a bigger rear garden than other applications that have been approved, either at Planning application stage, or at appeal. The property directly at the rear is closer to the rear boundary as it has already been extended. There is mature maintained hedging to the rear boundary of the property.

Please contact me if you have any queries on the submitted appeal. I look forward to hearing from you in due course.

nah (arphil


Regards,


Amanda Campbell


Architect B. Arch [hons], Dip Arch.

APPENDIX 6

PLANS/DRAWINGS







All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering of materials, or construction.

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted to A-Cubed Design immediately.

All materials to be installed in accordance with manufacturers instructions.

Date Description

planning



architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Clients

Mr and Mrs Graham


)rawing title:


Existing Ground Floor Plan


Project

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-003







All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering of materials, or construction.

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted to A-Cubed Design immediately.

All materials to be installed in accordance with manufacturers instructions.

Rev Date Description

planning



architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Clients

Mr and Mrs Graham


Drawing title:

Proposed First Floor Plan

Proiec

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-006







Rear Elevation as Existing

Scale 1:100

0 1m 5m

All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering of materials, or construction.

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted to A-Cubed Design immediately.

All materials to be installed in accordance with manufacturers instructions.

Rev Date Description

planning



architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Clients:

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing title

Front and Rear Elevations as Existing

Project:

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-101





All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering of materials, or construction.

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted to A-Cubed Design immediately.

All materials to be installed in accordance with manufacturers instructions.

Rev Date Description

planning



architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Clients:

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing title:

Side Elevations as Existing

Project:

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-102

Proposed materials

- Dry cast white stone on K-Rend silicone base render on masonry to extension and extended gable
- Flat roof to be dark grey rubber membrane
- Pitched roof to be finished in red concrete tiles : Marley Duo Edgemere



Front Elevation as Proposed

Scale 1:

5m

0 1m



All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted

All materials to be installed in accordance with

of materials, or construction.

to A-Cubed Design immediately.

architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

lients:

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing title:

Front Elevation as Proposed Project:


Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-103

scale: 1:100@A3 date: June 2023

Rev Date Description

planning





architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing title:

Rear Elevation as Proposed

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-104b



• Dry (

Scale 1:50

5m

Side Elevation 1 as Proposed

1m

0

- Dry cast white stone on K-Rend silicone base render on masonry to extension and extended gable
- Flat roof to be dark grey rubber membrane
- Pitched roof to be finished in red concrete tiles:
 Marley Duo Edgemere
- New soffits and fascias to be black uPVC
- Gutters and downpipes to be black uPVC
- Dark grey uPVC windows to rear RAL7016 colour,
 White uPVC windows to front
- Bedroom balustrade be metal to client choice
- New pitched roof to existing garage

architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted

of materials, or construction.

to A-Cubed Design immediately.

Clients:

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing titl

Side Elevation 1- Proposed

Projec

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-105



Proposed materials

- Dry cast white stone on K-Rend silicone base render on masonry to extension and extended gable
- Flat roof to be dark grey rubber membrane
- Pitched roof to be finished in red concrete tiles :
 Marley Duo Edgemere
- New soffits and fascias to be black uPVC
- Gutters and downpipes to be black uPVC
- Dark grey uPVC windows to rear RAL7016 colour, White uPVC windows to front
- Bedroom balustrade be metal to client choice
- New pitched roof to existing garage

Side Elevation 2 as Proposed Scale 1:50

0 1m 5m

All dimensions to be checked, prior to ordering of materials, or construction.

Any queries or discrepancies, to be highlighted to A-Cubed Design immediately.

All materials to be installed in accordance with manufacturers instructions.

Rev Date Description

planning



architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Clients:

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing title

Side Elevation 2 - Proposed

Projec

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-106



Location Plan scale: 1:1250





architect

amanda@a3online.co.uk 07909 337 951

Clients

Mr and Mrs Graham

Drawing title:

Location Plan

Project

Proposed extension at 29 Stewart Drive

Ref: 1937-PL-001