

MINUTE
of
LOCAL REVIEW BODY

Minute of meeting held at 2.30pm in the Council Chamber, Council Headquarters, Giffnock on 5 June 2024.

Present:

Councillor Jim McLean
Councillor Paul Edlin

Councillor Annette Ireland

Councillor McLean in the Chair

Attending:

Mark Brand, Planning Adviser; Gerry Mahon, Chief Officer (Legal and Procurement); and John Burke, Committee Services Officer.

Apologies:

Councillors Betty Cunningham, Chris Lunday. Andrew Morrison and Provost Mary Montague.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

860. There were no declarations of interest intimated.

The Chair advised that site visits had been held prior to the meeting.

NOTICE OF REVIEW – REVIEW 2024/03 – INSTALLATION OF DRIVEWAY, RETAINING WALL, FENCING AND ACCESS STEPS AT 10 CROMARTY GARDENS, CLARKSTON, G76 8PA (REF NO:- 2023/0670/TP).

861. The Local Review Body considered a report by the Director of Business Operations and Partnerships relative to a 'Notice of Review' submitted by Mr Fergus Brown against the decision taken by officers to refuse planning permission in respect of the installation of a driveway, retaining wall, fencing and access steps at 10 Cromarty Gardens, Clarkston, G76 8PA.

The decision had been made in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation made in terms of Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended.

The Local Review Body, having considered the information previously circulated, agreed that it had sufficient information to determine the review without further procedure.

866

The Planning Adviser outlined the planning application and reasons for refusal as determined by the Appointed Officer in the decision notice and the grounds for review.

The Planning Adviser further outlined proposed additional conditions to be attached to any consent in the event the Local Review Body overturned the decision of the Appointed Officer and granted planning permission.

Discussion took place, particularly around the accuracy of submitted drawings and impact to parking on the street.

Following the discussion, and having heard from the Planning Adviser, Councillor McLean, seconded by Councillor Edlin, moved to overturn the Appointed Officer's decision and grant planning permission, subject to the conditions outlined by the Independent Planning Adviser.

Councillor Ireland, having moved as an amendment that the Appointed Officer's decision be upheld and planning permission refused, but not received a seconder, asked that her dissent to the decision be recorded.

Therefore, the Local Review Body agreed to overturn the Appointed Officer's decision and grant planning permission, subject to the standard conditions and one additional condition as follows:-

"Before work commences on the development hereby approved, a scheme of soft landscaping shall be submitted for the written approval of the Planning Authority and thereafter implemented on site within 6 months from the date of approval, unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Authority.

Reason: to mitigate the visual impact caused by the replacement of the garden with hardstanding."

NOTICE OF REVIEW – REVIEW 2024/04 – SIDE UTILITY ONE-STOREY EXTENSION, FORMATION OF PITCHED ROOF OVER EXISTING GARAGE, ROOF ALTERATIONS TO FORM FRONT DORMER AND REAR GABLE, AS PART OF LOFT CONVERSION, EXISTING ROOF TO BE RE-ROOFED AT 29 STEWART DRIVE, CLARKSTON, G76 7EY. (REF NO:- 2023/0354/TP).

862. The Local Review Body considered a report by the Director of Business Operations and Partnerships relative to a 'Notice of Review' submitted by Mr Scott and Mrs Hayley Graham against the decision taken by officers to refuse planning permission in respect of: a side utility one-storey extension; formation of a pitched roof over an existing garage; roof alterations to form a front ormer and rear gable, as part of a loft conversion; and an existing roof to be re-roofed at 29 Stewart Drive, Clarkston, G76 7EY.

The decision had been made in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation made in terms of Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended.

The Local Review Body, having considered the information previously circulated, agreed that it had sufficient information to determine the review without further procedure.

The Planning Adviser outlined the planning application and reasons for refusal as determined by the Appointed Officer in the decision notice and the grounds for review.

The Planning Adviser further outlined proposed additional conditions to be attached to any consent in the event the Local Review Body overturned the decision of the Appointed Officer and granted planning permission.

Discussion took place, particularly around concerns about overlooking and the privacy of the neighbours to the rear of the property.

Following the discussion, and having heard from the Planning Adviser, the Local Review Body agreed that the Appointed Officer's decision be upheld and planning permission refused.

CHAIR

